De	Date of Session: 5/10/17 2 5/11/17 AM PM All Day									
D.	Trainer(s): Rachel Thom	as	ANIVI		PM		All D	vay_	X	
Dat	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagre									
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagro ank you for taking the time to complete this evaluat		will hal	n uc nl	an futum					
	aterial, Content, Presentation	IOH. TOUTTEEUDACK	wiii rieij	5 us pie	4	e progra 3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.			V						
2.	Course objectives were met.			X				П		
3.	Course provided balance between theory and	application.		X	П					
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable	<del>)</del>		X				П		
5.								П		
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would record	s.	X X							
In	structor		5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject ma	atter.		X						
						<u> </u>				
2.	Was effective in presentation style and deliver	ry.		X		П			П	
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to p	participants.		X						
4.	I would take another class from this instructor			X	П					
7.	Course length was     Just Right	☐ Too Sho	rt			oo Lon	 g			
8.	Course level was     Just Right	Too Door	• -							
0.	Course level was     Just Right	Too Basi	ıc			oo Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What di	d you value most	?							
-	I enjoyed the videos and I	hearing th	e su	niv	DYS	Stoni	es,	•		
De.	I enjoyed the videos and I finitely think that the DA	RSS mode	e ua	se	xtren	rely	valu	abl	'L	
Hov	w coula this be improved?									
	Continued training, tris i	uas very	cer	) I LL	no	ybe	ne	ear	^	
h	astestimonial in person, but either may this mas extremely helpfult informative									
Hov	Iow will you apply what you learned?									
	Use the DARSC middle to make Give that I'm don't my helt									
to	have a proactive approach	and real	ly To	aki	99	step	0 64	CK		
t	to have a proactive approach and realey taking a step back to understand where the client may be in the stages of change.									
	of charge.									

Training Evaluation Form Subject: **Date of Session:** AM **PM** All Day Trainer(s): Strongly Disagree = 1 Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. Material, Content, Presentation N/A 2 Course objectives were clearly stated. 1. 2. Course objectives were met. Course provided balance between theory and application. 3. 4. Course handouts were available (if applicable) Audio and Visual Aids were effective. 5. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. Instructor 5 N/A V Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. 2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery. 3. Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 4. I would take another class from this instructor. Just Right Course length was **Too Short** 7. Too Long Just Right 8. Course level was Too Advanced Too Basic What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? How could this be improved? How will you apply what you learned? chial applications

	Subject: Advanced CSEC										
Da	ate of Session: 5	117 +	5 18		AM		PM		All D	ay	NO.
	Trainer(s): \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	chel						·			
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5  onk you for taking the time to con		Disagree =		will held	n us nl	an futura	a nroar	ame		
THE RESIDENCE OF	terial, Content, Presentation		evaluation.	TOUT TECUDUEN	Will TiCip	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives were clear	rly stated.									
2.	Course objectives were met.					$\searrow$					
3.	Course provided balance bet	ween theo	ory and app	olication.							
4.											
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were		Sp								
6.	Overall, Course was valuabl	rs.	<b>(</b>								
In	structor					5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear understand	ling of sub	ject matte	r.							
		-	12 m			1					
2.	Was effective in presentation	n style and	delivery.			9					
3.	Stimulated discussion and w	as respons	sive to part	icipants.		otag					
4.	I would take another class fr	om this in	structor.	Hosolyte	Ly!	Ø					
7.	Course length was <b>Ju</b>	st Right		☐ Too Sh	ort		Пто	oo Lon	g		
8.	Course level was <b>U</b> Ju	st Right		□ Тоо Ва	sic		Пто	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strengths of the	course? V	Vhat did y	ou value mos	st?						
(2)			1			0		7. 1			
00	erall information	- CAON	ned	and a	entil	1100	H et	PER	<b>&gt;</b>		
Hov	w could this be improved?	PNH	551000	is line	y on	You	0				
	More educat	un -	Contin	re pro	oidin	- CY	1 from	whi	h		
	expand to schools. DON'T STOP Educating US!!										
	1										
Hov	w will you apply what you le	arned?									
	As best as	I ca	n Ev	ery dos	· He	et.	T co	an!			
	As best as I can, Every day that I can:										

	Subject: Howarced CSEC											
Da	ate of Session:	MAY 17 \$18		AM	$\times$	PM	$\boxtimes$	All D	ay	$\times$		
L	Trainer(s):	Rachel										
	tings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree = ime to complete this evaluation.		vill heli	n us nl	an futus	a nroge	amo		Er tr		
PERSONAL PROPERTY.	terial, Content, Pres		TOUT TEEBBER V	var ricip	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.			×							
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.			X							
3.	Course provided ba	lance between theory and app	olication.									
4.	Course handouts we		X									
5.	Audio and Visual A		X									
6.	Overall, Course was	s valuable and would recomm	nend it to others	i.		$\boxtimes$						
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matter	r.		X							
										-		
2.	Was effective in pre	sentation style and delivery.			X							
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.		$\boxtimes$							
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.	,		X							
7.	Course length was	☐ Just Right	Too Shor	rt		⊠ To	o Lon	g	•			
8.	Course level was		☐ Too Basi	c		Пто	o Adv	anced				
XX/b	at word the strongth	s of the course? What did w	ou volue mest	)								
** 11		s of the course? What did y										
	Perse	and Life story	of instru	ctor								
Hov	How could this be improved? Be more mindful around taking lunch before											
	12:3D											
Hov	Iow will you apply what you learned?											
	1.1014.	0 10 0 0 0	1	· +						-		
	Morki	g w/ SW's in	my Vr	11				<del>,,,,,</del>				

Training Evaluation Form Advunced Subject: Date of Session:  $\mathbf{AM}$ PMAll Day nama Trainer(s): Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. N/A Material, Content, Presentation Course objectives were clearly stated. Course objectives were met. 2. Course provided balance between theory and application. 3. Course handouts were available (if applicable) 4. Audio and Visual Aids were effective. 5. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. 6.  $\nabla$ N/A 5 Instructor  $\square$ Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. **Z** Was effective in presentation style and delivery.  $\square$ Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 3. X I would take another class from this instructor. 4. **Too Short** Too Long Just Right 7. Course length was Too Advanced Too Basic Course level was Just Right 8. What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? isuals How could this be improved? does an amazing Job How will you apply what you learned? back to co-workers & use it at

_	Subject: (SEC RESP	ONSE	T . = =						
Da	ate of Session: MAY 18 <sup>TH</sup> , 200	AM		PM		All D	ay	XQ	
	Trainer(s): RACHEL THOI	WAS'							
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree								
Section 1997	ank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation	on. Your feedbac	k will he						
2000 State of 100	terial, Content, Presentation			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.			A				Ш	
2.	Course objectives were met.				X				
3.	Course provided balance between theory and a	pplication.		X					
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)			M					
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.			Z					
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recon	ers.		X					
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject mat	ter.		Z					
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery	y.		K					
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to pa	articipants.		M					
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.			A					
7.	Course length was	☐ Too Sh	ort		⊠ To	o Lon	g		
8.	Course level was Just Right	☐ Too Ba	isic		Пто	o Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did	l you value mo	st?						
1	IFE EXAMPLES HELPED	to Opt	ATE	A	CL	EAR	2ER		
	PICTURE								
Hoy	v could this be improved?  NORE DETAILS ON HO	W W	=	1	11	2	5000	1/2	404
7	THE RUVERS.					11	5000		
	for the second								
Hov	w will you apply what you learned?								
	VIKSTS								
							·,		
	<u> </u>								

	Subject: CSEC										
Da	ate of Session:	5/17-5/18		AM		PM		All D	ay	X	
	Trainer(s):	achel									
	tings: Strongly Agree	= 5 Strongly Disagree = ne to complete this evaluation.		will held	o us pla	an future	e progra	ams.			
The state of the same of	terial, Content, Prese				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives we	ere clearly stated.			X						
2.	Course objectives we	ere met.			X						
3.	Course provided bala	nce between theory and app	lication.		×						
4.	Course handouts were		¥.								
5.	Audio and Visual Aid		×								
6.	Overall, Course was v	valuable and would recomm	end it to others	S.							
In	structor		(2) (5) (2) (3) (4)		5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear und	lerstanding of subject matter	•		X						
2.	Was effective in prese	entation style and delivery.			X						
3.	Stimulated discussion	and was responsive to parti	cipants.		X						
4.	I would take another of	class from this instructor.			X						
7.	Course length was		Too Sho	rt		ПТо	o Lon	g			
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Basi	ic		ПТо	o Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the strengths	of the course? What did yo	ou value most	?							
1	ne persunal	stories the	presenta	ev	sh	ared	Q				
Hov	v could this be improve		tab) n	ĭ.da	0.	wf	21 KN	and b	()0		
P	provided was helpful										
Hov	v will you apply what	-									
(	when wor	ring with you	eth at	01	V	shet	ter				
									-		

	Subject: CSEC TRAINING - ADVANCED										
Da	te of Session:		8/17	AM		PM		All Day	y 🔄		
	Trainer(s):	RACHEL THO	MAS								
Rat	ings: Strongly Ag	ree = 5 Strongly Disagre	e = 1								
STREET, SQUARE, SQUARE		time to complete this evaluation	on. Your feedback	will he		D. BURNES					
Ma	terial, Content, Pr	esentation			5	4	3	2	1 N/A		
1.	Course objectives	were clearly stated.			V						
2.	Course objectives	were met.			4						
3.	Course provided b	palance between theory and a	pplication.								
4.											
5.	Audio and Visual	V									
6.	Overall, Course w	as valuable and would recon	nmend it to othe	rs.	$   \sqrt{} $						
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1 N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear	understanding of subject mat	tter.		$\square$						
							1				
2.	Was effective in p	resentation style and deliver	y.		$\square$						
3.	Stimulated discuss	sion and was responsive to pa	articipants.								
4.	I would take anoth	ner class from this instructor.			$\square$						
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sh	ort		Пто	oo Lor	ng			
8.	Course level was	<b>✓</b> Just Right	□ Тоо Ва	sic		ПТс	oo Adv	vanced			
Wh	at were the streng	ths of the course? What did	l you value mos	st?							
-	Instruc	tor was grea	it, Str	eno	the	s w	ere	acti	NHIES		
	brended	tor was greatin and noty.	ust a po	we	VOC	ant.	VIO	leos e	Fren		
Hov	v could this be imp	proved?						WA	esture		
Hov	How will you apply what you learned? Help identity youth included in CSEC.										
		L						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

_	Subject: SEC  Date of Session: 5/8/17 AM PM All Day											
Da	te of Session:  Trainer(s):	5/18/17		AM		PIVI		All Da	ıy	1/21		
	Trainer(s).	Kachel					Parabogana		52317428			
Rat	ings: Strongly Agre	e = 5 Strongly Disagree =	1									
CANADA STATE		ime to complete this evaluation.	Your feedback	will help					1	NI/A		
Ma	terial, Content, Pres				5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.			X							
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.			X							
3.	Course provided bal	lance between theory and app	olication.		X							
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)			X							
5.	Audio and Visual A	ids were effective.			X							
6.	Overall, Course was	s.	X									
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matte	r.		X							
			141									
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.			$\square$							
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.		X							
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.			X							
7.	Course length was	☐ Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort		ПТ	oo Lon	g				
8.	Course level was	Just Right	Too Bas	sic		Т	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strength	is of the course? What did y	ou value mos	t? 2-CC2	ite	np/	ation	in te	)			
	Contemple	ation to plan	nine	95	14	at-s	57	age	C	7		
	chance.	, , ,	ble to	3 00		Ve	uto	1. /	7/5	0		
Hov	w could this be impr	oved?						1		//		
	. Va	facus on										
_/	NA like hearing about hied experiences											
		of how sie	esenter	3 (2	oT	out	07	f go	in	e		
Hov	w will you apply wha	at you learned?	ses -	> -	Sie	US.	Gu	1				
	Have	Staffing Co	duills.									
	-, 00	(3)003	3/21/3					,				
L												

	Subject: (SEC 1 wo vay / Advanced Mesponse										
Da	te of Session:	117 and 5/18/17		M	PM		All D	ay	$\boxtimes$		
	Trainer(s):	lachel Thomas, So	wers Educat	son ar	oup						
Rat	ings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree =	= 1								
Tha	nk you for taking the t	ime to complete this evaluation.	Your feedback will	l help us pla	an futur	e progra	ams.				
Ma	terial, Content, Pres	sentation	767 <b>4</b>	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.									
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.									
3.	Course provided ba	lance between theory and app	olication.								
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)									
5.	Audio and Visual A	ids were effective.									
6.	Overall, Course was										
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matte	r.								
2.	Was effective in pre	sentation style and delivery.									
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.								
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.									
7.	Course length was	☑ Just Right	☐ Too Short		Пто	oo Lon	g				
8.	Course level was	<b>☐</b> Just Right	☐ Too Basic		Пто	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value most?			***					
				a lot.	C 10	20/2/	2 das	ani			
ЦО	cret is a ver	t engaging spec the examp	decer bigh a	1010		war	Cope of the contract of the co	<u> </u>	J		
Hov	v could this be impr	oved?	W) 07 500	410113.							
	No suggest	_									
Hov	v will you apply wha	nt you learned?		1							
		me more aware of			1~ H	le W	omen	t			
0	ncounter and	I know how beyen	- to sene	Hum	*						
	Thank you, Rachel!										

	Subject: Advanced CSEC Response										
Da		1ay 17 and 18,201	7	AM		PM		All D	ay	V	
	Trainer(s):	Rachel Thomas									
	tings: Strongly Agre										
D BACKSTAN AND A	<i>nk you for taking the t</i> terial, Content, Pre	ime to complete this evaluation	n. Your feedback	will help	o us pl 5	an futur 4	e progr 3	ams. 2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives v				7						
2.	Course objectives v		1		V						
3.	-	lance between theory and a	pplication.								
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)			V		$\Box$		П		
5.	Audio and Visual A		V								
6.	Overall, Course was	rs.	V				$\Box$				
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject mat	ter.		V						
2.	Was affective in pre	esentation style and delivery	7		V				$\Box$		
3.		on and was responsive to pa	irticipants.								
4.		r class from this instructor.									
7.	Course length was	<b>✓</b> Just Right	Too Sh	ort 		T	oo Lor	ng ————			
8.	Course level was	<b>☑</b> Just Right	Too Ba	sic		T	oo Adv	vanced			
Wh	at were the strength	ns of the course? What did	you value mos	st?							
Day	hells personal e	xperience was inspi	ring and th	20 100	rto	heis	doir	ia ala	ma	1	
		ing awareness + pi			1 23	116 10	COII	ig an	0110		
	v could this be impr		Commen	*							
NIF	+							· · · · · · ·			
Hov	v will you apply who	at you learned? Nal interviewing and	d soci in the	2 NFP	pro	aran	).				
wc	COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	and the victorial of the	. 550 [116	- 1 - 1	FIOC	1. 5	* *				

Da	ite of Session: SIHITE SIS	AM		PM		All D	ay	X	
	Trainer(s): Rachel Thom	125				·			
Rat	ings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree =	=1							
Tha	nk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation.	Your feedback	k will hel	o us pla	n future				
Ma	terial, Content, Presentation			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.			X					
2.	Course objectives were met.			X					
3.	Course provided balance between theory and app	olication.		X					
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)			X					
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.			X					
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recomn	nend it to othe	ers.						
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matte	r.		X					
							1		
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.			X					
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to part	ticipants.		X					
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.			X					
7.	Course length was Just Right	☐ Too Sh	ort		ПТ	oo Lor	ıg	Į.	
8.	Course level was Just Right	☐ Too Ba	ısic		Пто	oo Adv	anced		
			~49						
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did y	you value mo	St:						
	Rachel!	-							
-	Turna stages of change	2 to 5	spe	24	· C	X	ta	SIE	5
Hov	w could this be improved?	7							
	O home offer Don't leavon	). Ros		ane	the	10	red	lu	ON
	1st training too.		5	0					)
Hoy	w will you apply what you learned?				1		(	-0	1
	Cocognizing the Sear	ns me	ne	W	0 1	jee	al	A	10
h	ear the story, pros	ding	MO	re	aj	ur	mo	*	gn
	and over apprecions	1 de	H	WHE	e (e)	PI h	igh	23	+
9	siste.								

	Subject: Advanced CSEC										
Da	ate of Session:	17th 18th (ma	IM AM	[	All Day						
	Trainer(s):	Rachel Thomas	0		· in the second						
	tings: Strongly Agr										
MARKET STATES	terial, Content, Pr	time to complete this evaluation	. Your feedback will h	SENERE TO THE SERVICE	AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON AND	N/A					
1.		were clearly stated.	the Enterthick action is	5 4	3 2 1	N/A					
2.	Course objectives										
3.		alance between theory and app	aligation								
4.		were available (if applicable)	oncation.								
5.		Aids were effective.									
6.		as valuable and would recomn	nend it to others.								
1000000	structor		5 4	3 2 1	N/A						
1.	Displayed a clear u	understanding of subject matte	r.								
	XX										
2.		resentation style and delivery.									
3.	Stimulated discuss	ion and was responsive to part	icipants.								
4.	I would take anoth	er class from this instructor.									
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Short	T	oo Long						
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Basic	Т	oo Advanced						
Wh	at were the strengt	hs of the course? What did y	ou value most?								
R	Boral Stor	ies, audience	_ Connection	on and	knowled	20 5					
0	Awone					8					
	v could this be imp			1							
11	More guest	Speakers!									
Hov	Iow will you apply what you learned?										
	, P										
Tro	aining m	colleagues o	on the r	nateria	Q.,						

Training Evaluation Form Subject: X Date of Session:  $\mathbf{AM}$ **PM** All Day Trainer(s): Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. Material, Content, Presentation N/A Course objectives were clearly stated. X 1. Course objectives were met. 2. Course provided balance between theory and application. X Course handouts were available (if applicable) 4. X 5. Audio and Visual Aids were effective. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. 5 N/A Instructor Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. 2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery. Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 3. I would take another class from this instructor. Just Right Too Long Course length was **Too Short** 7. Just Right Too Advanced 8. Course level was Too Basic What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? DVCd How could this be improved? ammo How will you apply what you learned? more

De	Date of Session: 5/18/17 AM PM All Day										
170	Trainer(s): Rache Thomas	3 Robin		Paviv			All D	a y	Д		
			(A) Electric								
	ings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree =										
BASES / Year	nk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. terial, Content, Presentation	Your reeadack	wiii ne	ip us pie 5	<i>in nutura</i> 4	e progra 3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.										
2.	Course objectives were met.					$\vdash \Box$		П	П		
3.	Course provided balance between theory and app	lication.		X							
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)			×							
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.		X								
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recomm	end it to other	rs.								
Ins	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter	•		À							
						•					
				-							
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.			$\boxtimes$		L	Ш				
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to parti	cipants.		X							
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.			K							
7.	Course length was Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort		ПТ	oo Lon	g				
8.	Course level was   Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		Пто	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did yo	ou value mos	t?								
V V AII.			••								
	he lay back homest dialor	gre .									
How	v could this be improved?										
TIUV	tour mis be improved.										
Hov	w will you apply what you learned?		5/1		0000	40	200	th			
IT	- I only have I hour with	nayo	uth		can	rve	116	1 , (	11		
hu	or count.						,				

	Subject: advanced CSEC										
Da	te of Session: 5/17 \$ 5/18	AM		PM		All Day	X				
	Trainer(s): Rachael										
Rat	ings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1										
	nk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback	will hel					NI/A				
	terial, Content, Presentation		5	4	3	2 1	N/A				
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.		VZ_								
2.	Course objectives were met.		X								
3.	Course provided balance between theory and application.			N							
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)		Ŋ								
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.		Q								
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to othe	rs.	X								
In	structor		5	4	3	2 1	N/A				
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.		$\square$								
				Ι							
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.		\(\overline{\pi}\)								
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants.		V								
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.		Q								
7.	Course length was Just Right	ort		Т	oo Lon	ıg					
8.	Course level was Just Right V Too Ba	sic		To	oo Adv	vanced					
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did you value mos	st?									
			51.05	CIAN	inec	gard no					
		9 01	1001	3.6	7 (	)41.0	) 1				
	very dark/tabao topic.										
по	w could this be improved:										
1	taking perently done CSEC 101,	De	, VXC	-mal	4	being					
	able to aftend the second day	ant	<del>ا</del> ا	ward	1	are )					
Ho	How will you apply what you learned?										
	to my work with your	h				,					
	War and wy end.			- Opening the same							

Ds	Subject:	5.17,17	-5-14-17	AM		PM	П	All D	 )av	<u></u>	
	Trainer(s):	Rachel	Thomas						<b></b>		
Rat	ings: Strongly A	gree = 5 Strongly D	isagree = 1								
		e time to complete this e		back will he	lp us pla	an future	e progra	ams.			
Ma	terial, Content, P	resentation	2 2 2 2		5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objective	es were clearly stated.			×						
2.	Course objective	s were met.			×						
3.	Course provided										
4.	Course handouts			K							
5.	Audio and Visua	l Aids were effective.		X							
6.	Overall, Course	was valuable and would	d recommend it to	others.	X						
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear	r understanding of subj	ect matter.		×						
2.	Was effective in	presentation style and	delivery.		×						
3.	Stimulated discus	ssion and was responsi	ve to participants.		X						
4.	I would take anot	ther class from this inst	tructor.		X						
7.	Course length wa	as Ust Right	□ Тоо	Short		ПТо	o Lon	g			
8.	Course level was	Just Right	□ Тоо	Basic		Пто	oo Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the streng	gths of the course? W	hat did you value	most?	the	Ma	st.				
		is also very						esto	ا لم	2	
	topics. S				ma						
P	w could this be im	proved?	- 1	/s			2 1	1	/	1.10	
(	e only a		Acaty V	,	1	1	4	1100	1/	CIUI	
syrrounding supporting adult sex workers & legalization of sex work.											
How will you apply what you learned?											
		yday nork, n	with all a	my k,	Js,		Mese	A TOTAL	158	ues,	
1	ots of r	7 ) //	to most	11	mat	120 /	yay	A.			

	Subject: CSEC Advanced									
Da	ate of Session:	5/17 - 5/18		AM		PM		All Da	<b>y</b>	X
	Trainer(s):	Rachel Thomas								
	tings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree		k will hali	n us nl	an futus	e progr	ams		
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	terial, Content, Pre		1. TOUT TEELDOCK	wiii neij	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives v									
2.	Course objectives v	were met.			X					
3.	Course provided ba	lance between theory and ap	pplication.		Ŋ					
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)			X					
5.	Audio and Visual A	Aids were effective.			X					
6.	Overall, Course wa	s valuable and would recom	mend it to othe	ers.	X					
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear u	nderstanding of subject matt	er.		X					
2.	Was effective in pro	esentation style and delivery	•		基					
3.	Stimulated discussi	on and was responsive to pa	rticipants.			K				
4.	I would take anothe	er class from this instructor.			X					
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sh	ort		ПТ	oo Lon	ng		
8.	Course level was	Just Right	□ Тоо Ва	sic		Пт	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strength	ns of the course? What did	you value mo	st?	· , ,	100	NW 85	sve .	of.	
Hov	way flag	le right now i	1 this		of 1°	5 10	Fp	ajcal	b	SI
1 1	w will you apply who	at you learned?	o aprove	~ 5W	SiVe	~5 F	5 h	vae 1		
7	will use the	handouts given	a awaren	55	rais	ed i	1 N	W Fe	212	
8	in my life									

D	Date of Session: 5/17 and 5/18 AM PM All Day										
	Trainer(s):	8 achol Domax		AM		PM		All D	иу	M	
		NOCYCL THOPTON			160 (645)						
1377	tings: Strongly Agre										
100,000	<i>ank you for taking the t</i> aterial, Content, Pre	ime to complete this evaluation	. Your feedback	will he						DT/A	
1.	Course objectives v				5	4	3	2		N/A	
		4444							H		
3.	Course objectives v		-1:4:								
		lance between theory and app	oncation.								
4. 5.		ere available (if applicable)			<u>X</u>						
		aids were effective. and any	*		$\supset$						
6.		s valuable and would recomn	nend it to other	rs.	<del>/</del>						
Lin	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matte	r.		7						
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.			A						
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.		7						
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.			1						
7.	Course length was	☐ Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort		☐ To	o Lon	g			
8.	Course level was	☐ Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		□то	o Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value mos	t?				-			
\ <u>\</u>	en engas	ing and know	I ledgate	de	īV	ny	ich	OV.			
9	reat inch	viin of vide									
Hov	v could this be impr				)			/ L			
F	+ felt In	e the first o	day v	95	50	2 M	a)ic	_/ /\	00		
V	nch rev	PeV. Ether	malee	it	Jh	ote	- 1	01			
0	go mor	in depth.	,								
Hov	v will you apply wha	it you learned?									
				2122				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

D	Date of Session: AM PM All Day										
	Trainer(s):	acrod		ZKIVE		A 17A	. L.J	7 811 17	u j	79	
Rai	tings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree =	1								
		ime to complete this evaluation.		will hel	o us pla	an future	e progra	ams.			
PERMITTED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	terial, Content, Pres				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.			$\square$						
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.			X						
3.	Course provided bal	lance between theory and app	lication.		D						
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)			X						
5.	Audio and Visual A	ids were effective.			X						
6.	Overall, Course was	s valuable and would recomm	end it to others	s.	X						
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear un	nderstanding of subject matter	î <b>.</b>		X						
2.	Was effective in pre	sentation style and delivery.			X						
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.		X						
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.			Z						
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	rt		ПТо	oo Lon	g			
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Bas	ic		Пто	oo Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value most	t?							
100	amy and	how to speak	- wit yo	4-4-41	7						
	How could this be improved?										
12	low will you apply what you learned?  Emembers I can be a sees that can plant the										

De	ate of Session: 5/10/17 2 5/11	Response	AM		PM		A 11 TO	10	11/1
D.	Trainer(s): Rachel Thom	as	ANIVI		LIVI		All D	vay_	X
Dat	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagre								
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagro ank you for taking the time to complete this evaluat		will hal	n uc nl	an futum				
	aterial, Content, Presentation	IOH. TOUTTEEUDACK	wiii rieij	5 us pie	4	e progra 3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.			V					
2.	Course objectives were met.			X				П	
3.	Course provided balance between theory and	application.		X	П				
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable	<del>)</del>		X				П	
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.		X				П		
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would record	mmend it to others	s.	X					
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject ma	atter.		X					
						<u> </u>			
2.	Was effective in presentation style and deliver	ry.		X		П			П
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to p	participants.		X					
4.	I would take another class from this instructor			X	П				
7.	Course length was     Just Right	☐ Too Sho	rt			oo Lon	 g		
8.	Course level was     Just Right	Too Door	• -						
0.	Course level was     Just Right	Too Basi	ıc			oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What di	d you value most	?						
-	I enjoyed the videos and I	hearing to	e su	niv	DYÉ	Stoni	es,	•	
De.	I enjoyed the videos and I finitely think that the DA	RSS mode	e ua	se	xtren	rely	valu	abl	'L
Hov	w coula this be improved?								
	Continued training, tris i	uas very	cer	) I LL	no	ybe	ne	ear	^
h	elpfult informative	but exter	ua	1 1	L(1 (		exh	enc	ely .
Hov	low will you apply what you learned?								
	USE the DARCE mixel to make Give that I'm don't my helt								
to	have a proactive approach	and real	ly To	aki	99	step	0 64	CK	
t	to understand where the client may be in the stages								
	have a proactive approach and realey taking a step back to understand where the client may be in the stages of change.								

## **County of Santa Cruz**

Staff Development Training Evaluation Form Advanced CSEC Response Subject: Date of Session: PM All Day Trainer(s): Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. Material, Content, Presentation 5 4 N/A 3 2 1 1. Course objectives were clearly stated. X 2. Course objectives were met.  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ 3. Course provided balance between theory and application. X Course handouts were available (if applicable) 4. X 5. Audio and Visual Aids were effective. 6. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. Instructor 5 4 3 N/A Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. X 2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery. X 3. Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 4. I would take another class from this instructor. 05 7. Course length was Just Right **Too Short** Too Long 8. Course level was Just Right Too Basic Too Advanced What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? I really valued all of it. Rachel war engaging More training on In Topiz How will you apply what you learned? with the Victions I work with

	Subject:	CSEC							
_Da	ite of Session:	5 10 5 5/11/17		AM	₹ PM		All D	ay	4
	Trainer(s):	Kachael							
	tings: Strongly Agre			will hale	a uc plan futu	o nrogr	ame.		
SPORTED IN	terial, Content, Pres	ime to complete this evaluation. Sentation	Your reeuback l	wiii neip	5 4	e progra 3	2		N/A
1.	Course objectives w								
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.							
3.	Course provided bal	lance between theory and app	lication.						
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)							
5.	Audio and Visual A	ids were effective.			MU				
6.	Overall, Course was	s valuable and would recomm	end it to others	S.					
In	structor				5 4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matter	:						
						T			
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.							
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.						
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.							
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	rt	Т	oo Lon	ıg		
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Basi	ic	П	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value most	?					
	0110		1 > 1	1	1				
	Keal life e	xperiences shared	U by t	he	instruc	DK.	1		
Hov	v could this be impr	oved?	$\overline{}$						
	More visu	uals on identifie	WS.						
									*
**	*11								
Hov	w will you apply wha	nt you learned?	Murith	71.	Also.	nu	chit.	h.	
	100	mode resource	/	Jh		2 hrs		()	
	10 /	UNIVOC Y COONTO	0 40 40	1	<u> </u>	nux	<i>.</i>	)	

	Subject: Advanced CSEC									
Da	te of Session: 5	10/17-5/11/17	AM		PM		All D	ay	$\square$	
	Trainer(s):	achel								
	ings: Strongly Agree =	5 Strongly Disagree = to complete this evaluation.		aln us nl	an futura	nroars	ome			
A PAGE A	terial, Content, Presen		TOUT TEEGDACK WITH THE	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives were	e clearly stated.		X						
2.	Course objectives were	e met.		$\boxtimes$						
3.	Course provided balance	ce between theory and app	lication.	X						
4.	Course handouts were	available (if applicable)	_	X						
5.	Audio and Visual Aids	were effective.		X						
6.	Overall, Course was va	aluable and would recomm	end it to others.		X					
Im	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear under	rstanding of subject matter		$\bowtie$						
2.	Was effective in presen	ntation style and delivery.			X					
3.	Stimulated discussion a	and was responsive to parti	cipants.	$\boxtimes$						
4.	I would take another cl	ass from this instructor.			X					
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Short		Пто	oo Lon	g			
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Basic		Пто	oo Adv	anced			
Wh	at were the strengths o	of the course? What did you provided w	oas very C							
M	elp during u	then providing	services	10	CS	EC	Vice	tin	. Zs	
Hov	w could this be improve	ed?								
					- 4					
Hoy	v will you apply what y	to provide -	the prop	er .	Serv	rice	s a	na	/	
ap	proach when	to provide - working w	ith CSEC	<u> </u>	ctio	ns,				

	Subject: USEC									
Da		5-10 3 5-11	·	AM		PM		All D	ay	
	Trainer(s):	Raphael								
	tings: Strongly Agre									
	terial, Content, Pres	ime to complete this evaluation	. Your feedback	will help	o us pl 5	an futur 4	e progra 3	ams. 2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives w									NA
2.	Course objectives w		1' 4'	-	M				Ш	
3.		lance between theory and ap	plication.		X					
4.		ere available (if applicable)			M					
5.	Audio and Visual A		×							
6.	Overall, Course was	s valuable and would recomr	nend it to other	rs.	X					
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear ur	nderstanding of subject matte	er.		X					
2.	Was effective in pre	sentation style and delivery.			$\square$					
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to par	ticipants.		X					
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.			X					
7.	Course length was	🕅 Just Right	☐ Too She	ort		□т	oo Lon	g		
8.	Course level was	✓ Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		Пт	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did	vou value mee	+9						
PC	ground str	nd problem 3	as- ne	NY	(W)	pad	For			
			Morowne	tos	Mo	ve	RXC	Lich	ic	
Hov	v could this be impr	oved?								
	7									
								·		
Шот	will you apply who	at you looknod?								
-	v will you apply wha	Better Upperst	coping	0+	W	rail .				.*)
(	espe is .	afor of the	ow me	to	9	Le				
	More Min	ec	V	etiv	NS.					

	Subject: CSEC		S 9000 9100		10				N. H
Da	ate of Session: 5/10-5/11	A	<u>AM</u>		PM		All Da	ay_	
	Trainer(s): RACUEL								
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1  ank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. You	r feedback w	ill help	o us pla	an future	e progra	ams.		
PRODUCE OF LAND	terial, Content, Presentation			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.								
2.	Course objectives were met.			M					
3.	Course provided balance between theory and applica-	tion.							
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)			M					
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.			0/					
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend	it to others.		M					
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.								
				/	•	1			
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.								
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participa	ants.							
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.		*						
7.	Course length was Just Right	Too Shor	t		Пто	oo Lon	ıg		
8.	Course level was Just Right	Too Basic	:		Пто	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did you v	value most?							
STO	OLIES, VIDEOS, GOOD METHODS TO	Contc	T	w	CLIE	NTS			
	w could this be improved?								
	I WOULD HAVE GOEN GREAT	HAV	146	٢	OK	ST	ories		
	From CSEC INDIVIDUALS & W	HAT !	Es	Mo	Es .	THE	h		
	w will you apply what you learned?						1		
Hov	w will you apply what you learned?  THE UNIFERSAND B HAVE PATE	ENTE &	The.	C	K	C			
46	THE PAINT PAINT	COO Y	UN	CL	CNI.	٥,			

	Subject: Advanced CSEC (CESPONSE)										
Da	ate of Session: 5/10 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	AM		PM	A	All Da	ay				
	Trainer(s): Rachelle										
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1  onk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your fee	odback will be	ln uc nl:	an futur	e progra	ome					
10 TO 10 TO	terial, Content, Presentation	GUDACK WIII TICI	5 us pie	4	3	2	1	N/A			
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.				A						
2.	Course objectives were met.				V						
3.	Course provided balance between theory and application		П	П			П	П			
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)										
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.										
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to	o others.									
In	structor		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.										
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.		П				П				
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants				- V						
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.	•			X						
7.		oo Short		T	oo Lon	g					
8.	Course level was Just Right T	oo Basic		T	oo Adv	anced					
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did you valu	ie most?									
Hov	w could this be improved?										
					-						
Hov	w will you apply what you learned?	,									
		-				-					
					-						

	Subject:	CSEC								
Da	ate of Session:	SIIII		AM		PM		All D	ay	X
	Trainer(s):	Kachel								
	tings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree =		will heli	n us nl	an futur	e progra	ams.		
\$1525 S.	terial, Content, Pre				5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives v	vere clearly stated.								
2.	Course objectives v	vere met.			X					
3.	Course provided ba	nlance between theory and app	plication.		X					
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)									
5.										
6.	Overall, Course wa	s valuable and would recomn	nend it to other	rs.	K					
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Displayed a clear u	nderstanding of subject matte	er.		X					
2.	Was effective in pro	esentation style and delivery.			A					
3.	Stimulated discussi	on and was responsive to part	ticipants.		N.					
4.	I would take another	er class from this instructor.			<b>A</b>					
7.	Course length was	☐ Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort		ПТ	oo Lon	g		
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		Пто	oo Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strengtl	hs of the course? What did y	you value mos	t?	¥.					
	G1200P D1	Scussion / par	HCIPA	Ho	1					
Hov	v could this be impi	coved?						1		
	2			3						
Hov	w will you apply wh	at you learned?	Comm !	JNIC	at	el	1	CSA	? (	

	Subject: CSEC Advanced Response													
Da	nte of Session: May 15-11, 2617		AM		PM		All D	ay						
	Trainer(s): Rachel M.			,,										
	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = onk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation.		vill helr	us nla	an future	e nroar	ams							
	terial, Content, Presentation	TOUT TECUDACK V	viii ricip	5	4	3	2	1	N/A					
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.													
2.	Course objectives were met.			$\boxtimes$										
3.	3. Course provided balance between theory and application.					П	П	П						
4.	4. Course handouts were available (if applicable)													
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.		M											
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recomn	3.	X											
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A					
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matte	r.		X										
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.				X									
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to part	ticipants.		Z.										
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.			A.										
7.	Course length was Just Right	☐ Too Shor	rt		Пто	oo Lon	ıg	ed						
8.	Course level was Just Right	☐ Too Basi	ic		Пто	oo Adv	anced							
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did y	you value most	?											
I liked the use of videos of survivors sharing as well as the trainers use of personal disclosure when appropriate How could this be improved?  How will you apply what you learned?														

Training Evaluation Form ADVONUED Crotec Subject: Date of Session: MAY (0+ **PM** All Day  $\mathbf{AM}$ Trainer(s): \(\(\mu\) Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. Material, Content, Presentation 4 3 2 1 N/A Course objectives were clearly stated. 1. 2. Course objectives were met. Course provided balance between theory and application. 3. Course handouts were available (if applicable) 4. 5. Audio and Visual Aids were effective. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. 6. 3 Instructor 2 N/A Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. V 2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery. Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 3. 4. I would take another class from this instructor. 7. Just Right Too Long Course length was Too Short Course level was Too Advanced 8. **Just Right** Too Basic What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? How could this be improved? How will you apply what you learned?

	Subject: Advance CSEC											
Da	ate of Session:	5/10-5/11		AM		PM		All D	ay	2		
	Trainer(s):	Kochil										
	tings: Strongly Agr			.m. p								
ACCESSED TO THE	terial, Content, Pr	<i>time to complete this evaluation.</i> esentation	Your feedback	wiii neij	o us pla 5	an ruture 4	e progra 3	ams. 2	1	N/A		
1.		were clearly stated.			Ø							
2.	Course objectives		K									
3.												
4.	Course handouts w											
5.	Audio and Visual		X									
6.	Overall, Course wa	as valuable and would recomm	nend it to other	rs.	V							
Im	structor		A CONTRACTOR		5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear u	inderstanding of subject matte	r.		Ĵ							
2.	2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery.											
3.		ion and was responsive to part	cicipants.		Ď							
4.		er class from this instructor.										
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort	☐ Too Long							
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		Пто	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strengt	hs of the course? What did y	ou value mos	st?								
Very dear and engrence freat mix of activities victors and rective.  How could this be improved?												
How will you apply what you learned?												
-	- Engagner technques usen building rogeret											

## **County of Santa Cruz**

**Staff Development** Training Evaluation Form Subject: Date of Session: **AM PM** All Day Trainer(s): Strongly Disagree = 1 Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs. Material, Content, Presentation 5 4 3 N/A 2 1 1. Course objectives were clearly stated. 2. Course objectives were met. 3. Course provided balance between theory and application. Course handouts were available (if applicable) 4. 5. Audio and Visual Aids were effective. V 6. Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others. Instructor 5 4 3 2 N/A Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter. V 2. Was effective in presentation style and delivery. V 3. Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants. 4. I would take another class from this instructor. 7. Course length was Too Long Just Right **Too Short** 8. Course level was Just Right Too Basic Too Advanced What were the strengths of the course? What did you value most? How could this be improved? How will you apply what you learned?

Subject: (SEC Odwarced										
Da	ate of Session:	5/10+5/10		AM	□ PM		All Day			
	Trainer(s):	'Kachel'								
	tings: Strongly Agre			uill haln	us plan futur					
	terial, Content, Pre	time to complete this evaluation. sentation	Your reedback v	viii neip	5 4	e progr 3	2 1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives v									
2.	Course objectives v	vere met.				Ín				
3.		lance between theory and app	olication.							
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)								
5.	Audio and Visual A	Aids were effective.		M						
6.	Overall, Course wa		ПМ							
In	Instructor 5 4 3 2 1 N/A									
1.	Displayed a clear ur									
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.								
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.							
4.	I would take anothe	er class from this instructor.								
7.	Course length was	☐ Just Right	Too Shor	rt	☑ T	oo Lor	ng			
8.	Course level was	☐ Just Right	Too Basi	c	Т	oo Adv	vanced			
Wh	at were the strength	ns of the course? What did y	ou value most	?						
Pr	esenters per	isonal story on	d ando	sta	ndiha	of	topic			
							\			
Hov	w could this be impr	1 /	er. There	<0	erned to	> L	e a lat			
D	COSS Droko	P B	s busine		coric	ماله	. Mayl			
Po	cus more	on the comoul	on conte	ent	and ob	ech	ves us.			
How will you apply what you learned?										
a	WHINE PO	facus on es	gagen	ent	wy	No	and			
C	developing prepart									

	Subject: USEC											
Da	te of Session:	10, 5/11		AM	□ PM		All Day					
	Trainer(s):	Cagrel										
	ings: Strongly Agre	e = 5 Strongly Disagree = ime to complete this evaluation.		will held	o us plan futur	e progr	ams.					
Section 1	terial, Content, Pres				5 4	3		N/A				
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.										
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.										
3.	Course provided bal	lance between theory and app	lication.									
4.	Course handouts we	ere available (if applicable)										
5.	Audio and Visual A	ids were effective.										
6.	Overall, Course was	s valuable and would recomm	rs.									
In	structor				5 4	3	2 1	N/A				
1.	Displayed a clear un	nderstanding of subject matter										
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.											
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to part	icipants.									
4.	I would take another	r class from this instructor.										
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort	Т	oo Loi	ng					
8.	Course level was	Just Right	□ Тоо Ва	sic	Т	4 3 2 1 N/A						
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value mos	st?			2					
Но	w could this be impr	oved?										
Ho	How will you apply what you learned?											
-												

	Subject:									
Da		M		PM		All D	ay_	7		
	Trainer(s): Rachel									
	Ratings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1  Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will help us plan future programs.									
	terial, Content, Presentation	ii neip	<u>us pia</u> 5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.									
2.	Course objectives were met.									
3.	Course provided balance between theory and application.		Ò							
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)		W							
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.									
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others.									
In	structor		5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.		M							
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.		DC							
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants.		D/							
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.		A							
7.	Course length was Just Right   Too Short	t		Пто	oo Lon	g				
8.	Course level was Just Right Too Basic			Пто	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did you value most?									
Hov	w could this be improved?									
	*									
Hov	How will you apply what you learned?									
								2		

	Subject: Advanced CSEC Response												
Da	ate of Session:	5/10-5/11		AM		PM		All D	ay	X			
	Trainer(s):	Rachel Thomas											
	tings: Strongly Agr			will bal		- Gutum							
	terial, Content, Pr	<i>time to complete this evaluation.</i> esentation	Your reedback	wiii nei	o us pia 5	an ruture 4	3	2 2	1	N/A			
1.		were clearly stated.			Ø								
2.	Course objectives	were met.											
3.	Course provided b	alance between theory and app	olication.			П	П		П				
4.	4. Course handouts were available (if applicable)												
5.	Audio and Visual		<u>**</u>										
6.	Overall, Course wa	rs.	X										
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
1.	Displayed a clear u	understanding of subject matter	r.		M								
2.	Was effective in pr	resentation style and delivery.			A								
3.	Stimulated discuss	ion and was responsive to part	icipants.		X								
4.	I would take anoth	er class from this instructor.			X								
7.	Course length was	Just Right	Too She	ort		Пто	o Lon	g					
8.	Course level was	Just Right	Too Bas	sic		ПТо	☐ Too Long ☐ Too Advanced						
Wh	at were the strengt	hs of the course? What did y	ou value mos	t?				-					
W.C	alpoid prosen	HED France & SUPERIN	re mare	Sc 10. \	m 1.	PIRA	len a	. ~	L 1 - A	1./			
		HED FROM A SURVIV		300	CCI	CFFCF	TUNG	WUZ	X I'Y	7			
CSEC More tanguale.  How could this be improved?													
MRY Similar to OSC 102 - COUD Have Been Helpful to get Pifferent													
engagement techniques.													
How will you apply what you learned?													
A	ACTIVELY USE OARSS												

	Subject: OSEC										
Da	te of Session:	110-011		AM		<u> </u>	All D	ay_			
10	Trainer(s):										
	ings: Strongly Agree	e = 5 Strongly Disagnet to complete this evaluation		k will helr	n us nlan fi	iture progr	ams				
APRICAGO S	terial, Content, Prese		nion: Your recubaci	K WIII TICIP		4 3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Course objectives we	ere clearly stated.									
2.	Course objectives we	ere met.		/							
3.	Course provided bala	ance between theory and	d application.			<u> </u>					
4.	Course handouts wer	e available (if applicable	le)		X						
5.	Audio and Visual Ai		Xi [								
6.	Overall, Course was	valuable and would rec	ers.	$X \mid C$							
Im	structor				5 4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear und	derstanding of subject n	natter.								
2.	Was effective in pres	sentation style and deliv	ery.		K L			Ш			
3.	Stimulated discussion	n and was responsive to	participants.		$\times$						
4.	I would take another	class from this instructor	or.	V	$\mathbb{X}$						
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sh	ort		] Too Loi	ıg		2		
8.	Course level was	Just Right	□ Тоо Ва	asic		Too Ad	vanced				
Wh	at were the strengths	s of the course? What o	did you value mo	st?	1100	11110	Λ. Λ.	'D4	-1		
d	Mad-AT	(Marina M. 1Ma	12 (200 2)	<u></u>	79CC	10	PLO	X	(4)		
(1)	1004	, analog vy	NAOTO SYL	WUN	P	MYS					
Ho	could this be impro	red?	yonest	•	$\bigcup$ '				-		
110	y could this be impre	veu.									
How will you apply what you learned?											
	of my hurdens and vill bersing all of il.										

Da	Subject: $(5/6)$ AN attention of Session: $(5/10 - 5/11 + 20)$ AN	1	PM		All Day	47			
	Trainer(s): Zuw								
Rat	tings: Strongly Agree = 5 Strongly Disagree = 1								
Tha	nk you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. Your feedback will h	help us pi	an futur	e progra	ams.				
Ma	terial, Content, Presentation	5	4	3	2 1	N/A			
1.	Course objectives were clearly stated.	×				] 🗆			
2.	Course objectives were met.	X				] 🗆			
3.	Course provided balance between theory and application.	X							
4.	Course handouts were available (if applicable)	X							
5.	Audio and Visual Aids were effective.	*							
6.	Overall, Course was valuable and would recommend it to others.	$\square$							
In	structor	5	4	3	2 1	N/A			
1.	Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.	X							
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.	×							
3.	Stimulated discussion and was responsive to participants.	X							
4.	I would take another class from this instructor.	$\boxtimes$							
7.	Course length was Just Right	•	Too Long						
8.	Course level was Just Right Too Basic		Пто	oo Adv	anced				
Wh	at were the strengths of the course? What did you value most?								
1	he perfect insignt of now to build &	100	LMUP	ut	<u> </u>	,			
,	interactions with CSEC, height too	0.	( II W C	/ () ()					
Hov	v could this be improved?	10.	***						
,									
How will you apply what you learned?									
M	when workers By Song the information and doing								
0.0		VI	NV VI	aur	W.J				
	my best with information.								

	Subject:	SEC								
Da		511045/11		AM		PM		All I	ay	$\boxtimes$
	Trainer(s):	achael					-			
Ra	tings: Strongly Agre	ee = 5 Strongly Disagree =	<del>-</del> 1							
		ime to complete this evaluation.	Your feedback	will help	o us pla	an future	e progra	ams.		
Ma	terial, Content, Pre	sentation			5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	Course objectives w	vere clearly stated.			×					
2.	Course objectives w	vere met.			M					
3.	7				X					
4.					X					
5.										
6.	Overall, Course was	s.	X							
In	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1.	1. Displayed a clear understanding of subject matter.									
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.			K					
3.	Stimulated discussion	on and was responsive to parti	icipants.		X					
4.	I would take anothe	r class from this instructor.			X					
7.	Course length was		☐ Too Sho	ort		Пто	o Lon	g		
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		ПТо	o Adv	anced		
Wh	at were the strength	s of the course? What did y	ou value mos	t?						
I really appreciate the different facilitation Styles that were used, tecture, video, personal experience How could this be improved?										
How will you apply what you learned?  T will defenetly review all material and cross-train										
n	WOLL CLE				110	2010	UYU	100-1	YCI	
	STAH, P	resent on CSE	CTO	SUY	aç	HILL	y T	10 6	fet	100
1	befor idea of what to do in certain situations									

_	Subject: CSEC										
Da	ate of Session:	3/10/17 5/11/17		AM		PM		All Da	ly_		
	Trainer(s):	Karbel Thomas									
	tings: Strongly Agre										
STEEL SECTION		ime to complete this evaluation.	Your feedback	will help	A PERSON	SERVICE SERVICE	HISTORIAN PLAN	247 65 54			
	terial, Content, Pre				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Course objectives v	vere clearly stated.			X						
2.	Course objectives v	vere met.			Ż						
3.	7 11					×					
4.	4. Course handouts were available (if applicable)										
5.	5. Audio and Visual Aids were effective.						X				
6.	Overall, Course was	S.									
Ín	structor				5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
1.	Displayed a clear un	nderstanding of subject matter	r.		X						
2.	Was effective in pre	esentation style and delivery.	)		$\boxtimes$					П	
3.		on and was responsive to part	icipants.			$\square$					
4.		er class from this instructor.	-			12					
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	rt	☐ Too Long						
8.	Course level was	☐ Just Right	☐ Too Basi	ic		Пто	oo Adv	anced			
		is of the course? What did y			15/10/	ter	tri	galoc			
Ne	on extra	figures very ofte	extinely,	and	did	10 tu	re sta	les h	8		
K	youledge. Goo	d exercises and	explanation	1 06	7 10	secia	1	and	bi	kling	
Hov	w could this be impr	reversely and exercises and exercises and	understande	ng i	6	t. CSI	हरे पु	with			
100	fore silves	nh A May Fit	doit v	ya ni	man-	- L	place	0	, ,	>	
Some slides were hard to Keard, also you talked about a theory before explaining; t. Many folks don't know stages of charge.  How will you apply what you learned?											

	Subject: Advanced CSEC Response										
Da	ate of Session:	05/10-05/11/17		AM		PM		All D	ay	Ź	
	Trainer(s):	Rachael Thoma	2S								
	tings: Strongly Ag										
		time to complete this evaluation	. Your feedback	will help							
1.	Course objectives	s were clearly stated.			5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
2.	Course objectives										
3.		palance between theory and ap	plication.								
4.	Course handouts	were available (if applicable)									
5.	Audio and Visual	Aids were effective.									
6.	Overall, Course w	as valuable and would recomm	rs.			П		П			
In	structor			5	4	3	2	1	N/A		
1.	Displayed a clear										
2.	Was effective in presentation style and delivery.										
3.											
		sion and was responsive to par	ticipants.						Ш		
4.	I would take anoth	ner class from this instructor.									
7.	Course length was	Just Right	☐ Too Sho	ort		Пто	oo Lor	ıg			
8.	Course level was	Just Right	☐ Too Bas	sic		Пто	oo Adv	anced			
Wh	didactic Valued	ths of the course? What did y personable, ap	olicable	D, H							
Hov	v could this be imp	rank you !"			-						
							-				
Hov	v will you apply w	hat you learned?									
when interacting with clients, I will implement											
0	ARSS and	I tap into thi	s exper	renc	e y	oh	elp	)			
	OARSS and tap vito this experience to help guide my practice										